

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

EINGANG/RECEIVED

25. Aug. 2005

Gesamtde. Rechtsschutz/  
International Property  
ALTANA Pharma AG

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  
(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/051204

International filing date (day/month/year)  
16.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)  
17.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
C07D471/04, A61K31/4745

Applicant  
ALTANA PHARMA AG

FOR FURTHER ACTION  
See paragraph 2 below

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office  
D-80298 Munich  
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d  
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Fink, D

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8701



**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:
    - a sequence listing
    - table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:
    - in written format
    - in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:
    - contained in the international application as filed.
    - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
    - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability**

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application,  
 claims Nos. 10 and 11 (as regards industrial applicability)

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 10 and 11 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):  
 the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.  
 no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.  
 the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

the written form

has not been furnished

does not comply with the standard

the computer readable form

has not been furnished

does not comply with the standard

the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

See separate sheet for further details

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/EP2005/051204

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or  
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

|                               |             |      |
|-------------------------------|-------------|------|
| Novelty (N)                   | Yes: Claims | 1-11 |
|                               | No: Claims  |      |
| Inventive step (IS)           | Yes: Claims | 1-11 |
|                               | No: Claims  |      |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Yes: Claims | 1-9  |
|                               | No: Claims  |      |

**2. Citations and explanations**

**see separate sheet**

**Re Item III.**

The present **claims 10 and 11** relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT.

Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims.

[ For the assessment of the aforesaid claims on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but will allow, however, claims to a (known) *compound for first use in medical treatment* and the *use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament* for a new medical treatment. ]

**Re Item V.**

The following documents (D) are considered to be relevant:

D1: ..... WO-A-98/21208 (22 May 1998);  
D2: ..... WO-A-99/57118 (11 November 1999);

1. NOVELTY (Article 33(2) PCT):

The present application satisfies the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of **claims 1-11** is new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT):

The compounds of present **claim 1** are novel over the prior art **D1** and **D2** on account of the N-(*alkoxyalkyl*)carbamoyl group -C(=O)-N(R5)-CH(R7)-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-OR6 attached to the 6-phenyl group (cf., the N-(*alkyl*)carbamoyl group -C(=O)-N(R81)R82 {wherein R81 and R82 represent e.g. *unsubstituted alkyl*} according to the first claims of **D1** and **D2**).

2. INVENTIVE STEP (Article 33(3) PCT):

The present application also satisfies the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter of **claims 1-11** appears to involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT):

The compounds of the present **claim 1** differ from the compounds of **D1** / **D2** in that they have a N-(*alkoxyalkyl*)carbamoyl group (-C(=O)-N(R5)-CH(R7)-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-OR6) attached to the 6-phenyl group rather than a N-(*alkyl*)carbamoyl group (cf., the -C(=O)-N(R81)R82 group according to **D1** and **D2**).

In the light of this prior art the **problem** to be solved by the present application resides in the provision of further 6-phenyl-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydrobenzo[c][1,6]naphthyridine derivatives useful as *PDE3/4 inhibitors*.

This problem has been **solved** by the compounds of the present **claim 1** (cf., the activity data (PDE3/4 inhibition) of table 1 on pages 29-30 of the present description).

Given the fact that one of the available prior art documents suggests 6-[N-(*alkoxy-alkyl*)carbamoylphenyl]-1,2,3,4,4a,10b-hexahydrobenzo[c][1,6]naphthyridine derivatives with *PDE3/4 inhibitory* activity, it is considered that the present solution (i.e., the subject-matter of the present **claim 1**) has to be regarded to be **non-obvious** in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

It is therefore considered that the subject-matter of the present **claims 1-11** involves an inventive step as set forth in Article 33(3) PCT.

**3. INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY (Article 33(4) PCT):**

The subject-matter of the present **claims 1-9** concerns chemical compounds, a pharmaceutical composition and the use of a chemical compound for producing pharmaceutical compositions and is therefore considered to be industrial applicable in the sense of Article 33(4) PCT.